

The OzBoy File

The Truth About Rafiq Hariri Assassination



Rafiq Bahaa El Deen Al-Hariri — (November 1, 1944 – February 14, 2005), (Arabic: *إيرى رحى لى نى دلأءأه ب قى فر*) a self-made billionaire and business tycoon, was Prime Minister of Lebanon from 1992 to 1998 and again from 2000 until his resignation, 20 October 2004

Written

By

Lloyd T Vance & Steve Johnson

The Truth About Rafiq Hariri Assassination

Introduction

Chapter 1 – New Disclosures about Israel's Complicity in Hariri Assassination

Chapter 2 – Photo Analysis of Hariri Assassination Scene Shows it was an Underground Explosion

Chapter 3 – The Assassination of Rafiq Hariri Who Benefited?

Chapter 4 – Mossad Murders Former Lebanese PM in Carbon Copy of 1979 Assassination

Chapter 5 – The Hariri Assassination Israel 's Fingerprints

Chapter 6 – LEBANON: Court releases names and pictures of Hariri's alleged killers

Conclusion



The Truth About Rafiq Hariri Assassination

Introduction

Welcomed to **The OzBoy File – The Truth About Rafiq Hariri Assassination**, I collected all the evidence and proof some time ago, and I am finally getting around to putting all of this together.

The people of the World are getting sick of America's CIA and Israel Mossad – IDF going about carrying out Terrorist Acts, setting up patsies and blaming somebody else.

It's a big joke to these people, they sit back laughing their heads off as the Mainstream Media run around like chokes with no heads spreading the lies about patsies these people set up for the crimes.

And as for Western Government Leaders and the UN they are completely under Zionist Israel and American control. America, England, Australia, Canada, Germany, France, Italy, Denmark, Holland and a few others are that bastard State / Country in the Middle East Israel's bitch, these Western Government and a few others sing to the tune of what ever Israel wants or says.

Including covering up for Israeli Terrorism they carry out throughout the World, they run back to their Jewish State, criminal hideout and laugh their heads off.

The Whole World is getting sicken tired of this, and either somebody takes action against these people, or one day when somebody gets a Nuclear Weapon they will blow this country off the Map.

And it wont be done by Arabs or Muslims but by some country Leaders who have had enough of Israeli Terrorism, Assassination Murders they commit.



R.I.P

Rafiq Bahaa El Deen Al-Hariri — (November 1, 1944 – February 14, 2005), (Arabic: رفيق بركات الحريري) a self-made billionaire and business tycoon, was Prime Minister of Lebanon from 1992 to 1998 and again from 2000 until his resignation, 20 October 2004

I found this calendar of Terrorist Attacks, murders while collecting pictures to put in this book. Compare and line up with what was going on in the World at that time and all fingers point to (CIA & Mossad). **Caught You!!!!**

	Europe	Africa	East Asia	South Asia	Middle East	Lat. America	North America
	Main Event	..Major Event		..Significant Event		..Other Event	
Jan-2013							
Sep-2012							
May-2012							
Jan-2012							
Sep-2011	Jul 28	Top Libyan rebel commander killed			Jul 12	Karzai's half-brother shot dead	
May-2011							
Jan-2011	Jan 8	Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords shot			Jan 4	Pak.: Governor Taseer assassinated	
Sep-2010	Oct 14	Police: Plot to kill Pakistan PM uncovered			Sep 23	Diplomat's throat slashed in NYC	
May-2010	Apr 15	U.N.: Bhutto death probe mishandled			Jun 19	Rwanda ex-army chief Nyamwasa shot	
Jan-2010	Feb16	Dubai ties Hamas official's death to EU			Dec 22	Col.: Kidnapped governor found dead	
Sep-2009	Oct 18	Commanders assassinated			Jul 15	President Medvedev condemns killing	
May-2009	Jul 15	Activist Estemirova 'found murdered'					
Jan-2009	Mar 2	Guin.-Bis.: President shot dead					
Sep-2008	Sep 10	Lawmaker killed in blast			Nov 23	Shots fired near Georgia leader	
May-2008	May 4	Iraq First Lady survives bombing					
Jan-2008	12/27	R-i: Benazir Bhutto assassinated			Feb 13	Blast kills top Hezbollah commander	
Sep-2007	Oct 18	Bombers target Bhutto's convoy, 136 killed			Sep 19	Anti-Syrian lawmaker dies in blast	
May-2007	Jun 13	B-t: Lebanese lawmaker killed in blast			Mar 23	Ba-d: Bomb injures Iraqi deputy PM	
Jan-2007	Jan19	Outspoken journalist shot dead			Nov21	Christian politician Gemayel killed	
Sep-2006	Nov23	London: Poisoned former KGB spy dies			Jul 28	Government minister assassinated	
May-2006	Sep 10	Suicide bomb kills Afghan governor					
Jan-2006	Apr 25	Bomb targets army chief			Jan 12	Man who shot pope freed	
Sep-2005	Dec 12	Beirut blast kills anti-Syria MP					
May-2005	Aug 12	Foreign Minister killed					
Jan-2005	Feb14	Beirut: Huge blast kills ex-PM			Mar 1	Ir.: Key Saddam trial judge killed	
Sep-2004	Dec11	Austria: Doctors: Yushchenko Was Poisoned			Nov 2	Netherlands: Gunman kills film director	
May-2004	May 9	Bomb kills Chechen president			Jun 15	Ir.: Oil security chief killed	
Jan-2004	Dec 25	Musharraf survives two-pronged attack			Mar 18	President Chen shot	
Sep-2003	Sep10	Sweden: Stabbed Foreign Minister dies			Sep 25	Shot politician dies from wounds	
May-2003	Mar12	Serbian Prime Minister assassinated			Apr 10	Clerics killed at Shiite shrine	
Jan-2003				Oct 28 US diplomat shot dead			
Sep-2002	Sep 5	Karzai escapes assassin			Jul 14	Chirac gunman 'is neo-Nazi'	
May-2002	May6	Right wing politician Fortuyn murdered					
Jan-2002	Oct16	Jerusalem: Tourism minister shot			Sep10	Afghanistan: Massoud attacked	
Sep-2001							
May-2001							
Jan-2001	Jan 16	Kabila killed			Nov 21	Politician shot dead	
Sep-2000	Aug 25	Ser: Ex-president missing					
May-2000							

Y

Chapter 1

New Disclosures about Israel's Complicity in Hariri Assassination

Seyyed Hassan Nasrollah, Secretary General of Lebanon's legendary anti-terrorist movement, the Hezbollah, Tuesday presented documentary evidence on the involvement of the illegal Zionist entity in the assassination of former Lebanese premier, Rafiq al-Hariri in February 2005.



In televised press conference he showed aerial photos of the blast that rocked Hariri's convoy and killed him, pointing out that the Hezbollah managed to infiltrate the Zionist entity's security apparatus to procure these photos taken by Israeli spy aircraft.

He made it clear These pictures are enough evidence of the Zionist entity's hand in Hariri's assassination, which was part of an intricate terrorist plot in the region with far-reaching political implications.

Nasrollah stated the Hezbollah of Lebanon has also acquired aerial photos showing that prior to the car blast Zionist reconnaissance aircraft.

Had taken pictures of Hariri's convoy from different angles and various distances on February, 14, 2005.

In his two-and-a-half hour press conference, he said the Hezbollah has other information in this regard which have not yet been disclosed and, if needed, will be revealed to the public later.

On attempts by the US-backed UN tribunal to implicate Hezbollah, he said We do not trust the so-called inquiry committee of the international court concerning Rafiq Hariri's assassination, but if the Lebanese government forms a commission to probe the issue, Hezbollah will present its documents and evidence in this regard.

The Hezbollah Secretary General said the Zionist entity's allegations against the Lebanese Resistance are not something new and have been there from the very beginning, especially since the events of September 1993 and the scandalous talks between Israel and other compromisers.

He added: All Lebanese know that that the day the Oslo Accord was signed, Hezbollah held demonstrations in the suburbs of southern Beirut and opposed the then Lebanese government whose head was Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri.

He recalled the shooting at the peaceful demonstrators by Lebanese government forces that led to the martyrdom of ten people and injury to 50 others an unfortunate incident that caused political tension between Hezbollah and the government, especially with Premier Hariri.

Nasrollah said The Israelis entered the scene and through one of their Lebanese mercenaries tried to convince Hariri that Hezbollah was plotting to assassinate him and the plan had entered the execution phase.

He showed a tape of the confessions of a Zionist mercenary in this regard and said: these confessions indicate the weaving of tales by Israel, a matter that had kept occupied Hariri's mind.

The Hezbollah Secretary General focused on unsubstantiated accusations raised by Israel against the Hezbollah, saying that as of February 14, 2005 – the day Hariri was assassinated – the Zionists spared no efforts to tarnish our image, resulting in the publishing of an article in Germany's Spiegel against the Hezbollah.

He then aired confessions by a Zionist stooge, named Mahmoud Rafe', following his arrest, noting Rafe's admission of targeting a number of resistance commanders.

Mahmoud Rafe', an Israeli agent who was convicted of murder and sentenced to death, was involved in the planting of bombs in Naameh in 1999 and Zahrani in 2005.

The latter incident that was nipped in the bud by the Lebanese army's intelligence services was intended to assassinate Speaker of the parliament, Nabih Berri, as part of a plot to create sectarian discord by putting the blame on Sunni Muslims – a plot that was foiled by the Grace of God Almighty.

Nasrollah noted that the planted bomb, as per the confessions of Mahmoud Rafe', was one hundred per cent made in Israel, and thus it is clear Israel was the main culprit behind Hariri's assassination in early 2005.

He referred to the confessions by Mahmoud Rafe' that a number of Israelis were shielded by him in Lebanon, saying: "Why the international investigation committee has not asked Rafe' about the Israelis who stealthily entered Lebanese soil?"

Then Nasrollah named two other Israeli stooges, named Nasser Nad and Faisal Meqdad, saying these two guys had provided transportation facilities for Israeli terrorist teams inside Lebanon.

He asked: "What were these Israeli groups doing in Lebanon?"

Did they collect information?

Were the Israelis faced with dearth of enough personnel and found no way but to send Mossad agents and Israeli Army personnel into Lebanon to stay there for weeks?"

The Hezbollah leader said these stooges and their accomplices have confessed that they had received black suitcases full of explosive materials, arms, and similar tools and then transferred them to pre-determined locations in the Lebanese region of Jabal.

He then named another agent of the Zionist entity, named Adeeb al-Ilm, who after his arrest in 2009 confessed to taking pictures and mapping roads, coastal areas and mountainous regions of Lebanon.

The Hezbollah Secretary General said all was a small part of the confessions by Israeli stooges.

And that those who really seek the true story of the assassination of Premier Hariri and other figures in Lebanon should launch fair investigations by interrogating these guys, instead of resorting to fake witnesses.

He said the issue of the recent arrest of Israeli spies at Lebanon's telecommunication department and their confessions showed that the enemy has infiltrated the country's communication system and can bug every individual or target, and also the exact location, a matter that makes any assassination attempt very easy.

He then shed light on the issue of reconnaissance flights by the Zionist regime and termed them as the core of all Zionist attempts inside Lebanon.

Referring to the variety of the Zionist regime spying planes, Nasrollah added that these planes take photos of Lebanese places like houses, roads, vehicles and other sites.

He said we have images of Israeli plans to assassinate Hariri, and after due investigation we found information of the spies."

Noting that a number of these spies have fled the country, Nasrollah said, we have images and video footages which have been filmed by the Israeli planes.

Seyyed Hassan Nasrollah said, "Our friends have spent hundreds of hours reviewing these footages and have compared them with satellite photos and got help from the people who knew the different areas especially the areas which we did not know well."

He added, "We found important and significant results through these investigations that if these results along with the measures we have already talked about are put together, we find that Israel is the main culprit."

After the images were shown, Seyyed Hassan Nasrollah said, "I have an important question."

Do you see anything related to Hezbollah or resistance or a house of an official in all the places the Israel had filmed?

Why did Israel monitor these areas, especially the twists and turns of the roads, especially the Qoroetam area at different times and from near the coast?

Are these images casual?

Nasrollah said we have first-hand information related to the enemy's movements on February 14, 2005.

Then a report about the movements of Israel air force on the Day Hariri was assassinated broadcast.

The Hezbollah secretary general said, we have our own reasons and if one day an independent committee is established, we will cooperate with it for finding the truths.

Nasrollah noted that there were a collection of documents and evidence, and said I do not claim we present definite reason, but we bring up the evidence and questions which would open new horizons for investigation and someone wants to reach the reality, he or she should be take them into consideration.

He added, this was part of the information that we had and postpone other information to some time later because we live in a deceitful time.

Chapter 2

Photo Analysis of Hariri Assassination Scene Shows it was an Underground Explosion

02/18/2005

A series of photos sent to a Lebanese daily newspaper provide evidence that former PM Rafik Hariri was not killed by a suicide bomber as had been claimed, but rather by an underground bomb that had probably been put in place earlier.

The analysis appears to back a story that appeared in Naharnet earlier.

The story claimed: The assassination scene abutted a ditch carved 10 days ago for underground public works repairs of the sewage system.

Retired army Brig. Gen. Shehadeh Maalouf told the LBCI Tuesday evening that as a seasoned explosives ~~spedeletedt~~ he is inclined to subscribe to the underground mechanically detonated bombing.

I am not ruling out a remote-controlled roadside bombing or a suicide driver crashing his car-bomb into the motorcade.

But the exceptionally huge crater caused by the blast makes me more inclined to the underground tunnel theory, Maalouf said.

According to the Naharnet story, experts at Hariri's Oger company are claiming that the blast had to be caused by about 1000 KG of T-4 explosive.

It is not clear how they know what type of explosive was used.

Others have estimated that if the blast happened in a confined underground space, even a small quantity of explosive, as little as 50 KG, might have produced the crater, because the entire force of the blast would be directed upward.

It has been noted that absence of lateral damage seems to indicate that the blast came from below.



Where was the explosion planted?

In case the explosives were planted inside a car and detonated, the asphalt wouldn't be thrown meters away.

We will explain this picture by picture



The center of the explosion under the road




The arrows show the direction of the explosion.

Since it was planted underground, it created a V crater from the bottom to the top.



These cars are the nearest to the hole, but, unlike the cars that are further away, these cars remained in their places, even though they are very close to the base of the explosion.



In the next two graphics [apparently referring to the above diagrams] , you can see the following details:

Graphic number 1 - The explosion's source is underground, the pressure/force is vertical.

The cars that are near the source will not be damaged as much as the cars that are further.

Graphic number 2 - The explosion is from another car, thus the pressure/force is horizontal.

It will take the closest object to the explosion and throw it away (in this case, you can see how the two cars remained in their places, while glass was broken kilometers away!)

There is no chance any car can endure such a huge explosion unless it avoided direct contact with the pressure as in graphic number 1.



The pressure coming from the underground explosion forces the manhole covers to fly.

If the explosion was above the ground, the cover wouldn't fly off...



The red arrows show pieces of asphalt.

They are more than 100 meters from the explosion.

The only way they got there was by flying.



These cars are parked outside the main explosion zone.

There are no buildings over them as you can see the big trees next to the cars.

This is the highway coming to the St. Georges Hotel from downtown.

The damage indicated by the red arrows is due to heavy materials falling on the cars.

It is almost 200 meters away from the place of the explosion.

The explosion threw heavy materials (like asphalt) 200 meters away by going up to a high altitude then falling down in big pieces to create these damages to the cars. Asphalt will not fly 200 meters into the air if the explosion is above ground.

Therefore, the only way the pieces of asphalt flew 200 meters is by a pressure coming from underground and in this case, from under the road.

Chapter 3

The Assassination of Rafiq Hariri Who Benefited?

Mossad, the CIA and Lebanon

The US media has responded predictably to the assassination of former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri, echoing the bellicose threats of the Bush administration against Syria.

And amplifying unsubstantiated charges that the regime in Damascus was the author of the killing.

Leading the pack was the Washington Post, which editorialized on Wednesday that “The despicable murder of Mr. Hariri benefits no one outside the rogue regime in Damascus—and the world should respond accordingly.”

The editorial acknowledged that the “crudeness of the killing and the denials by the government of Bashar Assad will cause some to wonder whether it has been framed for a crime it may have desired but did not commit.”

But the Post hastened to assure its readers that the assassination was “the panicked act of a cornered tyrant,” terrified by the forced march to democracy which Washington has supposedly initiated in the Middle East with the recent elections in Iraq and the Palestinian territories.

“Crude” is the appropriate designation for the Post’s arguments, which amount to nothing more than war propaganda.

The newspaper’s charges are both unsupported and nonsensical.

Their transparent purpose—much like the stories about Iraqi “weapons of mass destruction”—is to promote the policy of aggression which the Bush administration is pursuing in the Middle East.

The Post's brief against Damascus is based on the well-known detective's maxim: to discover who committed a crime, ask the question, "Who benefits?" Washington's newspaper of record asks the question in order to supply its predetermined answer: "the rogue regime in Damascus."

But precisely how has Syria benefited from the murder?

Its immediate concrete consequences are mass demonstrations organized by anti-Syrian political forces in Lebanon demanding that Damascus withdraw its troops from the country, a ratcheting up of Washington's threats of anti-Syrian military aggression, and the prospect of Lebanon descending into civil war.

That the assassination of Hariri would produce such consequences—all of them extremely threatening to the Syrian government of Bashar Assad—was hardly unforeseeable.

Whatever else may be said about the Baathist regime in Damascus, it is committed to its own survival and its leaders are not insane.

What of the acknowledged doubt—summarily dismissed by the Post—that the Syrian regime is being "framed" for a crime it did not commit?

Curiously, the newspaper gives no indication of who might be responsible for such a frame-up.

Here, however, the question of "who benefits" is definitely worth pursuing.

The powers that most clearly stood to advance their strategic aims by having Hariri assassinated and blaming the crime on Syria are the US and Israel.

Among those who play the game of speculating who organized the car bombing in Beirut, the smart money is undoubtedly on Washington and Tel Aviv.

Under pressure from Washington, the United Nations Security Council passed Resolution 1559 last September, demanding that Syria withdraw its troops from Lebanon.

This political fact sheds light on the decision of the White House, before the blood on Beirut's streets had dried on Monday, to issue a statement blaming Damascus.

This entirely unsupported charge was followed by instructions to Washington's ambassador to slap the Syrian regime with a demarche and leave the country.

In the midst of Washington's provocative moves against Syria, for which the killing of Hariri supposedly provided justification, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice declared, with consummate cynicism, that the US was making no presumptions as to the authors of the crime.

"We're not laying blame," she said, "It has to be investigated."

The US media went beyond adopting an uncritical attitude to the US response.

Treating the bellicose statements of the Bush administration as though they constituted, in and of themselves, some kind of proof of Syrian culpability.

“US Seems Sure of the Hand of Syria,” read the headline in the New York Times.

NBC’s Middle East correspondent wrote that the recall of the US ambassador represented “the first indication that the US knows something about Syrian involvement in the assassination attempt.”

It indicated nothing of the kind.

Rather, it suggested that Washington was prepared in advance to seize upon Hariri’s death as a pretext for escalating its threats against Damascus.

The Bush administration has in place extensive plans for military action against Syria.

Unable to crush the resistance in Iraq—and unwilling to acknowledge that it is a manifestation of popular hostility to the US occupation—the Pentagon has long accused the Syrian regime of harboring a “command-and-control”

Center of Iraqi Baathists that is supposedly masterminding the attacks on US forces.

The logic of the US colonial venture in Iraq, far from Bush’s fanciful talk of burgeoning democracy throughout the Middle East, leads to new wars of conquest against any and all regimes that fail to collaborate with Washington.

Various Middle East “security” experts have been quoted in the media describing Syria as “low-hanging fruit” in Washington’s military pursuit of hegemony in the region.

The regime is viewed as isolated and vulnerable.

Washington also hopes to use the assassination to pursue French support for US strategic aims in the Middle East.

France, the former colonial power in Lebanon, has its own fish to fry, and joined the US in supporting the UN resolution demanding a Syrian troop withdrawal.

Secretary of State Rice urged closer collaboration in her visit to Paris earlier this month, calling for an end to the divisions provoked by the US war in Iraq.

The maneuvers against Syria manifest as well the unprecedented coordination of US and Israeli policy in the region.

Damascus is a primary target because it has provided sanctuary to Palestinian groups that have opposed Israel, including the Islamist organization Hamas.

It has also failed to curb the growing influence of the Lebanese Shiite movement, Hezbollah, which forced Israeli troops out of southern Lebanon after 20 years of occupation.

It is hoped in both Washington and Tel Aviv that either forcing Syrian troops out of Lebanon or carrying out “regime change” in Damascus will undermine Hezbollah’s position and open the door for renewed Israeli control on both sides of its northern border.

Tel Aviv calculates that the expulsion of Syria from Lebanon or the toppling of the Baathist regime in Damascus could bring to power a Lebanese government more amenable to Israeli demands.

In particular, both want Lebanon to grant citizenship to the estimated 400,000 Palestinian refugees inside that country, a move that would effectively abrogate their right—never recognized by Israel—to return to the homes from which they were expelled in the course of the creation and expansion of the Zionist state.

The timing of the assassination, barely a week after Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and Palestinian Authority Chairman Mahmoud Abbas announced their truce in Egypt, is noteworthy.

It is quite possible that any limited concessions the Israeli regime may agree to make as part of the “peace process” with the Palestinians will be repaid by Washington giving the green light for Israeli provocations and military actions against Syria.

US Officials Tied To Israel Planned Attack On Syria

The killing of Hariri has set the stage for the implementation of plans for US aggression against Syria that have long been nurtured by a group within the US administration that is closely tied to Israel and the right-wing Likud bloc, in particular.

Prominent among them is David Wurmser, Vice President Dick Cheney’s adviser on the Middle East.

Wurmser played a leading role in the creation of a Pentagon intelligence unit that sought to fabricate a case for linking the Iraqi regime with Al Qaeda in the months leading up to the US invasion.

In 1996, Wurmser co-authored a report drafted for incoming Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, entitled "A Clean Break: a New Strategy for Securing the Realm."

It called for a repudiation of the "land for peace" formula that had served as the basis for Middle East peace negotiations, in favor of a plan to "roll back" regional adversaries.

It advocated the overthrow of the Iraqi regime of Saddam Hussein and recommended Israeli strikes against "Syrian targets in Lebanon" and within Syria itself.

The co-authors of the report included Douglas Feith, the current undersecretary for policy at the US Defense Department, and Richard Perle, the former chairman of the Pentagon's Defense Policy Board.

In 2000, Wurmser helped draft a document entitled "Ending Syria's Occupation of Lebanon: the US Role?"

It called for a confrontation with the regime in Damascus, which it accused of developing "weapons of mass destruction."

Among those signing the document were Feith and Perle, as well as Elliott Abrams, Bush's chief advisor on the Middle East, who was recently appointed deputy national security advisor.

This document urged the use of US military force, claiming that the 1991 Persian Gulf War had proven that Washington “can act to defend its interests and principles without the specter of huge casualties.”

It continued: “But this opportunity may not wait, for as weapons-of-mass-destruction capabilities spread, the risks of such action will rapidly grow.

If there is to be decisive action, it will have to be sooner rather than later.

If one asks the question, “Who benefits?” the answer is clear.

The destabilization of Lebanon, the mobilization of the US-backed opposition to the pro-Syrian government in Beirut, and the vilification of Damascus all serve to advance US and Israeli strategic plans long in the making.

It is not just a question of motive, however. Israel has a long history of utilizing assassination as an instrument of state policy.

The Israeli regime has not infrequently carried out acts of terror and blamed them on its enemies.

Among the more infamous examples was the so-called Lavon Affair, in which the Israeli intelligence agency Mossad organized a covert network inside Egypt which launched a series of bombing attacks in 1953.

The targets included US diplomatic facilities, and the attackers left behind phony evidence implicating anti-American Arabs.

The aim was to disrupt US ties to Egypt.

In its long history of assassinations of Palestinian leaders, many of them carried out in Beirut, the Israeli regime has routinely attempted to implicate rival Palestinian factions.

Car bomb killings in Beirut are a regular part of Mossad's repertoire.

In the 1970s and 1980s, when the Israelis invaded Lebanon, such bombings were a fact of daily life, and many of them were attributed to Israel.

Among the more recent killings is that of Elie Hobeika, an ex-Lebanese cabinet minister and former Christian warlord, in January 2002.

He was killed along with three bodyguards by a remote-controlled car bomb on a Beirut street.

Hobeika, who participated in the massacre of Palestinian refugees in the Sabra and Shatilla refugee camps in 1982, had announced just days earlier that he was prepared to testify on the role played by Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon in the killings.

Last June, a Lebanese magistrate indicted five Arabs who were said to be working for Mossad in connection with a plot to assassinate Hezbollah leader Sayyed Hassan Nasrallah.

At least one of the defendants testified that Mossad had organized the Hobeika assassination.

In May 2002, Mossad carried out the assassination of Mohammed Jihad Jibril, the son of Ahmed Jibril, the leader of the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine-General Command.

Israeli Defense Minister Benjamin Ben-Eliezer commented cynically at the time, "Not everything that blows up in Beirut has a connection with the State of Israel."

In August 2003, Ali Hassan Saleh, a leader of Hezbollah, was assassinated in Beirut.

Israel denied any knowledge of the killing, but it was seen throughout Lebanon as a Mossad operation.

Since 2002, Mossad has been headed by Meir Dagan, who formerly commanded the Israeli occupation zone in Lebanon.

Sharon reportedly gave Dagan a mandate to revive the traditional methods of Mossad, including assassinations abroad.

Washington has itself revived the methods of "murder incorporated" that were historically associated with the CIA, boasting of assassinations of alleged Al Qaeda operatives in Yemen and elsewhere.

While the Washington Post and other US media outlets echo the White House in denouncing Syria as a "rogue regime" guilty of the Hariri assassination.

The two governments responsible for the great bulk of the killing and political murders in the Middle East are Israel and the United States.

In contrast to the jingoist propaganda of the American press, it is worth noting the editorial comment published Wednesday by the Daily Star, the Beirut English-language daily, dealing with the broader political implications of the assassination.

“The fact that within just hours of the murder five distinct parties were singled out as possible culprits—Israel, Syria, Lebanese regime partisans, mafia-style gangs, and anti-Saudi, anti-US Islamist terrorists—also points to the wider dilemma that disfigures Lebanese and Arab political culture in general

The resort to murderous and destabilizing violence as a chronic option for those who vie for power,” the newspaper stated.


It continued, “That madness has now been even more deeply institutionalized and anchored in the modern history of the region due to the impact of the American-British invasion of Iraq and the new wave of violence it has spurred.”

The murder of Rafiq Hariri constitutes a brutal warning that the US war in Iraq is only the beginning of a far broader campaign of military aggression aimed at crushing resistance to US and Israeli domination.

This escalating militarism is creating the conditions for a conflagration throughout the region.

Chapter 4

Mossad Murders Former Lebanese PM in Carbon Copy of 1979 Assassination



Rafik al-Hariri with his wife Nazek

We can only conclude that there must be some kind of agreement between world nations that, even when it is patantly obvious, one nation will never expose the activities of anothers' intelligence agency.

What other reason can there be for the fact that Iran and Syria were the only two countries to even hint at Israel as being behind the murder of Rafik Hariri on Valentine's day 2005?

Indeed, one of the strongest indications of an Israeli involvement in the murder of Hariri is the fact that not ONE mainstream news source is even mentioning the possibility of Israeli involvement, when it is painfully clear that Israel has the most to gain from his death.

But then again, we have become accustomed to the severe lack of intestinal fortitude or any real journalistic integrity on the part of the mainstream media.

And also to the fact that much of the Western press is dominated by Israeli sympathisers and/or "Zionists".

To his credit, French President Chirac, perhaps going as far as protocol permitted, held off from immediately implicating any particular group in the murder of his close friend and called for "an immediate international investigation to uncover the real culprits".

Coming as it did at the same time as the US government's attempts to force the blame on Syria, Chirac's comment perhaps provides the strongest evidence that Syria was NOT involved.

Of course, we don't need the subtle innuendo of any government leader to realise that, while Syria may have stood to gain from the untimely demise of Hariri, it had much more to loose.

This fact however did not stop so-called "journalists" in the mainstream media from sounding off in all directions.

An example of the faulty logic used by such pundits is provided by analyst Jean-Pierre Perrin writing in the French daily "Liberation" the day after the assassination of Hariri.

Perrin claimed that Chirac's call for an international enquiry to identify the killers was "a way of casting doubt over any Lebanese-Syrian enquiry" and showed Paris also suspects Damascus.

Yet surely if Chirac really suspected Syria, he would have said nothing and allowed Syria's accusers to prevail, or added his own voice to the chorus already calling for Syrian "blood".

Yet we see that he did exactly the opposite and in doing so made clear his opinion that Syria was NOT to blame.

Most readers will be aware that, over the past few years, the US and Israel have been making loud and repeated claims that Syria is "funding Palestinian and Iraqi terrorism".

There have also been growing signs that, if the US and Israel can fabricate enough "evidence", Syria may well be the next stop in the "war on terror".

It is also public knowledge that Hariri had resigned as Prime Minister last year over Syrian meddling in Lebanese government affairs and was in favor of a withdrawal of the 14,000 Syrian troops from Lebanon (although he had never openly criticised the Syrian government.)

Given these facts, is it really reasonable to believe that Syria would publically assassinate Hariri and, in the process, provide the US and Israel with much needed justification to continue their imperial rampage through the Middle East?

While Hariri might have been quietly pressuring Syria for the ultimate removal of its troops, he was also well aware of the reason for those troops – to dissuade Israel from staging another invasion of Lebanese territory.

Having manipulated Lebanese and world opinion into believing that Syria killed Hariri and with the withdrawal of Syrian troops already under way, Lebanon and its people will once more be exposed to the predations of the butcher Sharon.

From the moment of its creation by Western diplomats in the aftermath of WW I, the potential for religious and ethnic conflict was seemingly built into the very fabric of Lebanese society.

Under the gerrymandered borders drawn up by the League of Nations in 1920, extremist Maronite Christians made up 54% of the population with Arabs comprising the remainder, giving the Maronites a controlling stake in the newly formed Lebanese government.

Within 40 years however, Arabs had outnumbered Christians and hundreds of thousands of Palestinian refugees had been forced out of Palestine into Lebanon after the creation of the state of Israel in 1948 and the 1967 Israeli-Arab war.

In an attempt to maintain control the Lebanese 'Phalange' was formed, an extremist political and military force of the Christian Maronites in Lebanon.

The Phalangists' unbending right-wing policies, their resistance to the introduction of fully democratic institutions and to the very idea of Arab nationalism made them natural allies of Israel.

Almost inevitably, civil war between the Arab Lebanese and the Phalangists finally broke out in 1975, with more than a little help from Israel.

In 1982, under the pretext of curbing attacks on Israeli troops by the Palestinian PLO in Lebanon, Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin ordered the Israeli army to invade.

In a weeklong orgy of bloodletting, then Defence Minister and current Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon ordered his troops to encircle the Lebanese refugee camps of Sabra and Shatila giving the Maronite Phalangists free reign to murder at will.

Figures vary, but somewhere between 1,700 and 3,000 Palestinians, most of them innocent civilians, were mercilessly butchered in response to the murder of Israeli-backed Christian Lebanese President-elect Bashir Gemayel.

When a horrified world demanded an explanation of Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin, who himself had committed indiscriminate terror in his youth, he said without a word of regret: "Goyim kill Goyim and they blame the Jew."

Despite Israel's denials of responsibility, New York Times correspondent Thomas L. Friedman declared without qualification: "The Israelis knew just what they were doing when they let the Phalangists into those camps."

Sharon and seven other Israeli officials, including Begin, were found guilty the next year by an Israeli commission of "indirect responsibility" for the massacres.

Sharon was also found to have "personal responsibility," and he was ordered to resign or be removed as defense minister.

Sharon resigned, protesting his innocence, but he was allowed to stay in the cabinet as a minister without portfolio.

The union of the Christian Lebanese and the Israelis has indeed been a long and sordid one and it should come as no surprise that current Lebanese Christian politicians have been quick to join the US and Israel in immediately asserting that Syria was to blame for the murder of Hariri.

By all accounts, Hariri was one of the few "men of peace" left in the Middle East.

In his two terms as Lebanese PM since 1990, he had brought Lebanon out of the carnage wrought by 15 years of civil war and set it well on the way to reclaiming its status as the "Paris of the Middle East."

Hariri willingly expended his personal fortune on Lebanon's recovery pouring millions into the reconstruction of Beirut.

Viewed as a leading Arab-world reformer, he was also credited with restoring Lebanon's reputation abroad as a liberal, open Middle Eastern country.

He paid for the 1989 Christian-Muslim peace conference in Taif, Saudi Arabia, which laid the foundation for the ceasefire that came a year later.

During his tenure as Prime Minister, Harari also made it his goal to ensure that the religious divisions (Christian and Islamic) were kept out of politics.

When you think of Israel, what are the first thoughts that come to mind?

Belagured?

Threatened?

Only democracy in the Middle East?

A vanguard for Western Democracy in its battle to stem the tide of rampant Arab terrorism?

Rightful homeland of all "Jews"?

If these thoughts come to mind when you think of the state of Israel, then the IDF and/or the Mossad have an opening you might be interested in.

My point is that Israel, in its current incarnation as an illegal and ultimately untenable statelet, far from seeking the eradication of "terrorism", finds itself in the paradoxical position of NEEDING a permanent threat to its existence in order for it to continue to exist and expand its borders into Arab lands.

Which is where the Israeli intelligence agency Mossad comes in.

Mossad's motto is "by way of deception thou shalt wage war" and all of the evidence points to their taking their motto absolutely literally.

Over the years, Mossad has worked tirelessly to further the 'interests' of Israel and has made extensive use of False Flag operations to create the appearance that Israel is surrounded by terrorist regimes.

From the demonisation of Saddam leading up to the first Gulf War, to the 9/11 attacks, nothing, it seems, is a bridge too far for the world's most ruthless and bloodthirsty intelligence agency.

From ex-Mossad agent Victor Ostrovsky's book "The Other Side of Deception":

The Mossad realized that it had to come up with a new threat to the region, a threat of such magnitude that it would justify whatever action the Mossad might see fit to take.

The right-wing elements in the Mossad (and in the whole country, for that matter) had what they regarded as a sound philosophy: They believed (correctly, as it happened) that Israel was the strongest military presence in the Middle East.

In fact, they believed that the military might of what had become known as "fortress Israel" was greater than that of all of the Arab armies combined, and was responsible for whatever security Israel possessed.

The right wing believed then – and they still believe – that this strength arises from the need to answer the constant threat of war.

The corollary belief was that peace overtures would inevitably start a process of corrosion that would weaken the military and eventually bring about the demise of the state of Israel, since, the philosophy goes, its Arab neighbors are untrustworthy, and no treaty signed by them is worth the paper it's written on.

Supporting the radical elements of Muslim fundamentalism sat well with the Mossad's general plan for the region.

An Arab world run by fundamentalists would not be a party to any negotiations with the West, thus leaving Israel again as the only democratic, rational country in the region.

And if the Mossad could arrange for the Hamas (Palestinian fundamentalists) to take over the Palestinian streets from the PLO, then the picture would be complete.

The Mossad regarded Saddam Hussein as their biggest asset in the area, since he was totally irrational as far as international politics was concerned, and was therefore all the more likely to make a stupid move that the Mossad could take advantage of.

What the Mossad really feared was that Iraq's gigantic army, which had survived the Iran-Iraq war and was being supplied by the West and financed by Saudi Arabia.

Would fall into the hands of a leader who might be more palatable to the West and still be a threat to Israel.

The first step was taken in November 1988, when the Mossad told the Israeli foreign office to stop all talks with the Iraqis regarding a peace front.

At that time, secret negotiations were taking place between Israelis, Jordanians, and Iraqis under the auspices of the Egyptians and with the blessings of the French and the Americans.

The Mossad manipulated it so that Iraq looked as if it were the only country unwilling to talk, thereby convincing the Americans that Iraq had a different agenda.

By January 1989, the Mossad LAP machine was busy portraying Saddam as a tyrant and a danger to the world.

The Mossad activated every asset it had, in every place possible, from volunteer agents in Amnesty International to fully bought members of the U.S. Congress.

Saddam had been killing his own people, the cry went; what could his enemies expect?

The gruesome photos of dead Kurdish mothers clutching their dead babies after a gas attack by Saddam's army were real, and the acts were horrendous.

But the Kurds were entangled in an all-out guerrilla war with the regime in Baghdad and had been supported for years by the Mossad.

Who sent arms and advisers to the mountain camps of the Barazany family; this attack by the Iraqis could hardly be called an attack on their own people.

But, as Uri said to me, once the orchestra starts to play, all you can do is hum along.

The media was supplied with inside information and tips from reliable sources on how the crazed leader of Iraq killed people with his bare hands and used missiles to attack Iranian cities.

What they neglected to tell the media was that most of the targeting for the missiles was done by the Mossad with the help of American satellites.

The Mossad was grooming Saddam for a fall, but not his own.

They wanted the Americans to do the work of destroying that gigantic army in the Iraqi desert so that Israel would not have to face it one day on its own border.

That in itself was a noble cause for an Israeli, but to endanger the world with the possibility of global war and the deaths of thousands of Americans was sheer madness.

The previous August (1989) a contingent of the Maktal (Mossad reconnaissance unit) and several naval commandos had headed up the Euphrates, their target was an explosives factory located in the city of Al-Iskandariah.

Information the Mossad had received from American intelligence revealed that every thursday a small convoy of trucks came to the complex to be loaded with explosives for the purpose of manufacturing cannon shells.

The objective was to take position near the base on Wednesday August 23rd and wait until the next day when the trucks would be loaded.

At that point, several sharpshooters would fire one round each of an explosive bullet at a designated truck while they were in the process of loading, so that there would be a carry on explosion into the storage facility.

The operation was quite successful and the explosion generated the sort of publicity the Mossad was hoping for in attracting attention to Saddam's constant efforts at building a gigantic and powerful military arsenal.

The Mossad shared its "findings" with the Western intelligence agencies and leaked the story of the explosion to the press.

Since this was a guarded facility Western reporters had minimal access to it.

However, at the beginning of September, the Iraqis were inviting Western media people to visit Iraq and see the rebuilding that had taken place after the [Iran-Iraq] war, and the Mossad saw an opportunity to conduct a damage assessment.

A man calling himself Michel Rubiyer saying he was working for the French newspaper "le figaro", approached Farzad Bazoft, a thirty one year old reporter freelancing for the British newspaper the Observer.

Rubiyer was in fact Michel M. a Mossad agent.

Michel told Farzad that he would pay him handsomely and print his story if he would join a group of journalists heading for Baghdad.

The reason he gave for not going himself was that he had been black-listed in Iraq.

He pointed out the Bazoft could use the money and the break especially with his criminal background.

Michel told the stunned reporter that he knew of his arrest in 1981 for armed robbery in Northhampton England.

Along with the implied threat he told Bazoft that he would be able to print his story in the Observer as well.

Michel told Bazoft to collect information regarding the explosion ask questions about it get sketches of the area and collect earth samples.

He told the worried reporter that Saddam would not dare harm a reporter even if he was unhappy with him.

The worst the Saddam would do was kick him out of the country, which would in itself make him famous.

Why this particular reporter?

He was of Iranian background and would make punishing him much easier for the Iraqis and he wasn't a European whom they would probably only hold and then kick out.

In fact, Bazoft had been identified in a Mossad search that was triggered by his prying into another Mossad case in search of a story involving an ex-Mossad asset Dr Cyrus Hashemi who was eliminated by Mossad in 1986.

Since Bazoft had already stumbled on too much information for his own good – or the Mossad's for that matter – he was the perfect candidate for this job of snooping in forbidden areas.

Bazoft made his way to the location as he was asked and as might be expected was arrested.

Tragically, his British girlfriend, a nurse working in a Baghdad hospital was arrested as well.

Within a few days of his arrest, a Mossad liaison in the US called the Iraqi representative in Holland and said that Jerusalem was willing to make a deal for the release of their man who had been captured.

The Iraqi representative asked for time to contact Baghdad, and the liaison called the next day, at which point he told the Iraqi representative it was all a big mistake and severed contact.

Now the Iraqis had no doubt that they had a real spy on their hands, and they were going to see him hang.

All the Mossad had to do was sit back and watch as Saddam proved to the world what a monster he really was.

On March 15th 1990 Farzad Bazoft, who had been held in the Abu Gharib prison met briefly with the British Ambassador to Iraq.

A few minutes after the meeting he was hanged.

The world was shocked, but the Mossad was not done yet.

To fan the flames generated by the brutal hanging, a Mossad sayan in New York delivered a set of documents to ABC television with a story from a reliable Middle Eastern source telling if a plant Saddam had for the manufacturing of uranium.

The information was convincing and the photos and sketches were even more so.

It was time to draw attention to Saddam's weapons of mass destruction.

Only three months before, on December 5, 1989, the Iraqis had launched the Al-Abid, a three-stage ballistic missile.

The Iraqis claimed it was a satellite launcher that Gerald Bull, a Canadian scientist, was helping them develop.

Israeli intelligence knew that the launch, although trumpeted as a great success, was in fact a total failure, and that the program would never reach its goals.

But that secret was not shared with the media.

On the contrary, the missile launch was exaggerated and blown out of proportion.

The message that Israeli intelligence sent out was this

Now all the pieces of the puzzle are fitting together.

This maniac is developing a nuclear capability (remember the Israeli attack on the Iraqi reactor in 1981) and pursuing chemical warfare (as seen in his attacks on his own people, the Kurds).

What's more, he despises the Western media, regarding them as Israeli spies.

Quite soon, he's going to have the ability to launch a missile from anywhere in Iraq to anywhere he wants in the Middle East and beyond.

After the arrest of Bazoft, Gerald Bull, who was working on the Iraqi big gun project called Babylon, was visited by Israeli friends from his past.

The visitors (two Mossad officers) had come to deliver a warning.

They were both known to Bull as members of the Israeli intelligence community.

The Mossad psychological department had studied the position Bull was in and analysed what was known about his character.

It arrived at the conclusion that, even if threatened, he wouldn't pull out of the program but would instead carry on his work with very little regard for his personal safety.

Ultimately, Bull's continuing with the program would play right into the Mossad's hands.

Through the bullet riddled body of Gerald Bull the world would be made to focus on his work: the Iraqi giant gun project.

The timing had to be right though Bull's well publicised demise had to come right after an act of terror by the Baghdad regime, an act that could not be mistaken for an accident or a provocation.

The hanging of the Observer reporter on March 15 was such an act.

After the reporter's execution in Baghdad, a Kidon (Mossad assassination) team arrived in Brussels and cased the apartment building where Bull lived.

It was imperative that the job be done in a place where it would not be mistaken for a robbery or an accident.

At the same time, an escape route was prepared for the team and some old contacts in the Belgian police were revived to make sure they were on duty at the time of Bull's elimination so that, if there was a need to call on a friendly police force, they'd be on call.

They weren't told of the reason for the alert, but would learn later and keep silent.

When Bull reached the building at 8.30pm, the man watching the entrance signaled the man in the empty apartment on the sixth floor (Bull's floor) to get ready: the target had entered the building.

The shooter then left the apartment and hid in an alcove.

Almost immediately after the elevator door closed behind Bull, the shooter fired point blank at the man's back and head.

The shooter then walked over to Bull and pulled out of his tote bag a handful of documents and other papers, which he placed in a paper shopping bag he had with him.

He also collected all the casings from the floor and dropped the gun into the shopping bag.

In the following weeks, more and more discoveries were made regarding the big gun and other elements of the Saddam war machine.

The Mossad had all but saturated the intelligence field with information regarding the evil intentions of Saddam the Terrible, banking on the fact that before long, he'd have enough rope to hang himself.

It was very clear what the Mossad's overall goal was.

It wanted the West to do its bidding, just as the Americans had in Libya with the bombing of Qadhafi.

After all, Israel didn't possess carriers and ample air power, and although it was capable of bombing a refugee camp in Tunis, that was not the same.

The Mossad leaders knew that if they could make Saddam appear bad enough and a threat to the Gulf oil supply, of which he'd been the protector up to that point, then the United States and its allies would not let him get away with anything, but would take measures that would all but eliminate his army and his weapons potential, especially if they were led to believe that this might just be their last chance before he went nuclear. [...]

Hariri was a close friend of French President Chirac and was reportedly planning a come back to Lebanese politics and, as noted above, was credited with "restoring Lebanon's reputation abroad as a liberal, open Middle Eastern country".



The fact is, the emergence of ANY open, democratic, liberal and SECULAR Arab nation, particularly on Israel's border and under the influence of an internationally respected figure like Hariri.

Would threaten the carefully crafted image of Middle Eastern Arab states as “Islamic terrorist regimes” and undo all of Mossad’s hard work.

It is for this reason that Hariri became an enemy of the state of Israel and of its patron the US, and had to be removed from the picture.

By framing Syria for the murder of Hariri, Israel could also apply pressure on the Syrian government and, as we have seen with the beginning of the withdrawal of Syria troops from Lebanese territory, provide the pro-Israeli Christians in the Lebanese government with a much bigger say in Lebanese politics.

Coming back to the bombing itself; it is interesting to note that the details of the attack bore a stark resemblance to many other Israeli intelligence operations, most notably the killing in Beirut in 1979 of then PLO Chief Ali Hassan Salameh aka “the Red Prince”.

Gordon Thomas writes in his book “Gideon’s Spies”:

Three Mossad agents who could pass for Arabs crossed into Lebanon and entered the city. One rented a car.

The second wired a series of bombs into its chassis, roof, and door panels. The third agent parked the car along the route the “Red Prince” traveled to his office every morning.

Using precise timing Rafi Eitan had provided, the car was set to explode as PLO chief Salameh passed.

It did, blowing him to pieces.

Hariri's murder followed a very similar pattern.

Approx 300kg of explosives were packed into a car sitting outside a derelict hotel on a Beirut road.

As Hariri's cavalcade of armored Mercedes Benz cars passed, the bomb was remotely detonated, obliterating several cars – blowing one into the third story of the hotel – killing 14 people injuring 135 others and leaving a 15 foot deep, 40 feet wide, crater in the road.



Of course, no one should be surprised to hear that the the mythical yet ubiquitous al-Qaeda AND the Palestinians were immediately dragged into the fray.

Reuters "informs" us: The Palestinian who appeared in a video claiming responsibility for the killing of former Lebanese prime minister Rafik al-Hariri ... called Hariri a Saudi agent and said the attack was also "in revenge for the pious martyrs killed by security forces of the Saudi regime" and used a religious term for Saudi Arabia often used by al Qaeda militants fighting Riyadh's U.S.-allied government since 2003.

It is interesting to note that in most other False Flag operations, Mossad and/or the CIA employed one of the many “previously unknown al-Qaeda-linked groups” to claim responsibility for their attacks, yet in this instance the blame had to land squarely at the doorstep of Syria, so hot on the heels of the claim of responsibility by an “al-Qaeda-linked group”...

'Qaeda' Says Jihadists Didn't Kill Hariri

DUBAI (Reuters) – A statement attributed to al Qaeda and posted on the Internet on Tuesday denied Islamists had killed former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafik al-Hariri, saying Lebanese, Syrian or Israeli intelligence were behind the attack.

The statement, signed by a hitherto unknown group calling itself the Al Qaeda Organization in the Levant, was posted on an Islamist Web site often used by al Qaeda a day after another unknown Islamist group said it was behind the huge Beirut blast that killed Hariri.

The authenticity of the statement could not be immediately verified.

“Blaming the Jihadist and Salafist groups for what happened in Beirut is a complete fabrication,” the statement said.

“The priorities of the jihadist groups in the Levant are supporting our brethren in Iraq and Palestine, not blowing up cars.”

Clearly, the technology involved in dispatching Hariri was far beyond the capabilities of a group of Afghani cave-dwellers or the fully oppressed and marginalised Palestinian militants.

No indeed, this particular operation required the resources of a modern, fully equipped and well organised covert intelligence agency.

As the Economist stated: Some detect the work of an intelligence service—if not Syria's, some other foreign power's—in the method of the attack.

Certainly, the size and sophistication of the bomb suggest it was the work of a well-organised and experienced group, or a government.

The blast was big enough to leave a huge crater and shatter windows hundreds of metres away.

Moreover, it was sophisticated enough to defeat jamming mechanisms, which the billionaire Mr Hariri's convoy always used while travelling, to forestall such remotely triggered attacks.

Mr Hariri, who made his fortune in construction in Saudi Arabia, knew he had many enemies and took what countermeasures he could.

A quick note on the above.

While "construction" is certainly part of the equation, the fact that Hariri was a billionaire is unlikely to be the real motivation for his murder, despite the ever so subtle spin from the Economist.

As in the case of Iraq, Israel is determined to do whatever necessary to ensure that it remains all-powerful in the region and prevent any of its Arab neighbours from emerging as solid, unified Muslim democracies that it could not demonise as "terrorist states".

By murdering Hariri and having the blame pinned on Syria, the Mossad have removed a stabilising influence on recovering Lebanese society and the Middle East in general, and given the US government an excuse to further ratchet up the war rhetoric towards Damascus.

In this sense, Israel shares a common goal with the US and it is for this reason that Israel has always enjoyed the overwhelming support of successive US administrations.

While the US and Israel both make much of their bogus "war on terrorism", both countries have long since realised that it is by fomenting "terrorism" and "terrorist" groups that their control of the Middle East can be assured.

Yet, while both countries share a common goal, the reasons that each desires to achieve that goal are slightly different.

By controlling the extensive oil resources in the Middle East (and the countries that sit upon them), the US can ensure that it continues to top the heap of world superpowers.

Israel too wishes to remain as a powerful world player, and its leaders realise that acting as a hired thug for the US in the region is the best way to do so.

Yet it is more than mere power lust that is driving Israel's leaders to deliberately antagonise and provoke the entire Arab world.

Israel's very presence in the Middle East is predicated on the Judaic notion of a "chosen people" and their very own homeland granted to them thousands of years ago by their mythical god, yahweh.

While it may be possible (if unlikely) to make a convincing geopolitical argument for the US government's Middle East policies over the years, to understand the thinking of people like Sharon (and all those that act on his orders) one would have to first embrace the idea that a group of human beings can constitute a "chosen people", one of their lives being worth more than 1,000 of the lives of the "lesser" people of the world.

One would also have to accept that the "chosen people" are divinely entitled to a piece of land in the Middle East and are permitted therefore to act in any way necessary to achieve their goals of "lebensraum".

While the Israeli government is careful to distance itself from extreme Judaic beliefs, it is clear that it is just such beliefs that underpin its policies.

There are only two nations that had the means, motive and opportunity to carry out the particular type of attack that took the life of Hariri – a man who was one of the very few remaining hopes for a just and lasting peace in Lebanon and the greater Middle East.

Sadly, it seems that peace is the very last thing on the minds of the people who over 80 years ago drew the map of what has become the killing fields of the modern day Middle East.

Their decades (or should we say millennia) long agenda is simply too far developed for them to permit anything or anyone to stand in the way of its full and undoubtedly bloody implementation.

All of it coming soon to a phony theatre of "war on terrorism" near you.

Update May 2006: In his book Mordakte Hariri. Unterdruckte Spuren im Libanon (The Hariri File: Silenced Evidence in Lebanon) German journalist Jurgen Cain Kulbel makes a strong case for the United States and Israeli link to the Hariri assassination.

The major revelation in the book is that the static emitters of Mr Hariri's convoy, normally capable of preventing the activation of bombs at a distance, "totally failed".

The journalist affirms, citing a Swiss expert, that the system could only be neutralized by its maker, **which happens to be none other than an Israeli company founded by ex-Mossad agents.**

Jewish Terrorists Assassinate Rafik Hariri

19 Feb: Lebanese Army General Proves Bomb was Pre-positioned Underground

Devoted husband Rafik Hariri in happier days with wife Nazek, flanked on the left and right by photographs of ground zero in Beirut, filmed before and after the micro-nuke was detonated by remote control in an underground sewage conduit. A large very clear image of the complete crater profile is shown further down this page.

Though it will have very little impact on western sheeple watching CNN, the Islamic Republic of Iran Broadcasting Service issued a statement from its Damascus office on 15 January 2005, which reads in part: "A senior official at [the] Syrian information ministry condemned the assassination of former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri on Monday, saying, 'all over Syria the people are furious and angry over this criminal act and mad at its perpetrators.'

The Ministry spokesman continued, "Syria continues its firm support for Lebanon, especially in such a tense situation in which enemies are determined to destabilize

Lebanon's tranquility and security, but, God-willing, they will never succeed" ... "by killing the Lebanese political leaders, the enemies of Lebanon and Syria are trying to restore coarseness in Lebanon again".

Finally, the Syrian spokesman concluded, "Lebanon's enemies are displeased with the Lebanese peoples' victory against Zionist occupant forces and opposed to the establishment of tranquility in Lebanon that is playing an effective role in the region.

So, by committing such terrorist and criminal acts they are trying to weaken Lebanon once again.

The ultimate goal of these crimes is fulfillment of Israel's desires and pushing forth their war-monger policies".

Bearing in mind that this blast was caused by a highly specialized micro-nuke sourced from Dimona in the Negev Desert, the Syrian spokesman was obviously correct.

Early forensic examination indicates this critical nuclear weapon was from the same class and batch used on Bali during October 2002, in a murderous and blatant attempt to force Australians to believe in the entirely fictional "al Qaeda" and "Jemaah Islamiyah" alleged terrorist groups.

Though the weapon on Bali instantly killed more than 100 Australians in the immediate vicinity of the Sari Club at Kuta Beach, it failed utterly in its primary mission of force-feeding fictional "terrorist groups" down the throats of unreceptive Australians.

Nowadays the only people in Australia who believe that "al Qaeda" and "Jemaah Islamiyah" exist, are brainwashed members of the media, and corrupt political war criminals in Canberra.

In an equally crude attempt to 'false flag' the Syrians as the culprits in this latest deadly tableau, the western media started quoting the White House, which nowadays merely acts as a propaganda office for the Zionist Cabal in New York: "The White House described it as a brutal murder and said Lebanon should be allowed to pursue its political future "free from violence... and free from Syrian occupation".

To understand fully why Rafik Hariri and fourteen of his staff and colleagues were suddenly vaporized by a nuclear weapon placed under Beirut's gleaming Corniche, we first need to examine why this small country is so important to Zionist Jews, and how the Lebanon has been undermined and attacked by Zionist terrorists in the past. In particular, we need to examine why Rafik Hariri, plus the religious and secular heads of Hezbollah, were all sentenced to death by Jewish war criminals more than twenty years ago.

Zionist Jews have never concealed their plans for a "Greater Israel" stretching from the Mediterranean Sea in the west to Persia's Abadan in the east.

How to get hold of this real estate was not a problem for these madmen, who were and still are living in a time warp, where the antics of Ghengis Khan apparently still constitute acceptable social behavior.

Using the truly evil Babylonian Talmud 'Bible' as a war crimes guide, the Jews intended to force a beachhead in Palestine [achieved in 1948], then progressively rape and pillage their way north, south, and east through

Lebanon, Syria, Jordan, northern Saudi Arabia and Iraq, eventually forming an eastern border beyond Kuwait and the Persian oilfields in Abadan.

Regardless of the appalling human suffering along the way, this would give the Zionists complete control of Middle East oil, and thus control of the whole world.

Well, that was the game plan, before it all started to go horribly wrong in September 2001.

Despite the fact that Lebanon has no major oil reserves, this beautiful country had a very special role to play in the new "Greater Israel", with a major clue being Beirut's sixties reputation as the Paris of the Middle East. Back then it was a stunningly beautiful secular city, with pristine white beaches and a night club on every corner.

In a single word, Beirut was drawn in on Zionist plans as "headquarters" for the Jewish criminal elite, who had absolutely no intention of getting their manicured hands dirty in the eastern desert oilfields.

Like Roman Emperors of old, the criminal elite intended to sit by the beach eating bunches of ripe grapes, and then as dusk fell, humbly consult their Talmuds to see exactly how many small Lebanese boys and girls they were allowed to defile and sodomize during the long warm night ahead.

As you might expect, the Talmud imposes no bag limit at all.

All non-Jews [Goyim] were and still are available for the unrestricted sexual pleasure of the Jewish conquerors, a religious 'law' that, according to sworn witness statements, indicted war criminal Ariel Sharon later enforced more than two dozen times in the Lebanese Shatila and Sabra refugee camps, when he eagerly unzipped his flies and brutally sodomized helpless screaming children held down by his fellow criminals.

The Zionists reasoned that capturing and suborning Lebanon would be as simple as a walk in the park, achieved by using cheap agent provocateurs to spark a religious war between Lebanon's Muslims and Maronite Christians.

All Tel Aviv had to do was sit tight and make sure a steady stream of weapons found their way to the Maronite Christians, who were as slavishly subservient to the Jews back then, as the Texan Southern Baptists are in America today.

In the early 1970s, as a direct consequence of this deliberate Jewish provocation, Muslim and Christian differences in Lebanon grew more intense and difficulties arose over the presence of Palestinian refugees, most of whom arrived after the 1967 Arab-Israeli war and the orchestrated hostilities of Black September [a Mossad front] in 1970. Full-scale civil war broke out in Lebanon during April 1975.

Finally, when the Zionists calculated that the organized chaos had reached a peak, they invaded Lebanon in 1982 to claim their new headquarters.

Chapter 5

The Hariri Assassination Israel 's Fingerprints

In the Middle East , the link between political machinations, espionage and assassination is either clear as day, or clear as mud.

As for the yet unsolved case of the February 2005 murder of Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri, the underpinnings of this covert operation including the role of Israel have now surfaced.

A crackdown on Israeli spy rings operating in Lebanon has resulted in more than 70 arrests over the past 18 months.

Included among them are four high-ranking Lebanese Army and General Security officers—one having spied for the Mossad since 1984.

A significant breakthrough in the ongoing investigation occurred in late June and culminated in the arrest of Charbel Qazzi, head of transmission and broadcasting at Alfa, one of Lebanon 's two state-owned mobile service providers.

According to the Lebanese daily As-Safir, Qazzi confessed to installing computer programs and planting electronic chips in Alfa transmitters.

These could then be used by Israeli intelligence to monitor communications, locate and target individuals for assassination, and potentially deploy viruses capable of erasing recorded information in the contact lines. Qazzi's collaboration with Israel reportedly dates back 14 years.

On July 12, a second arrest at Alfa was made.

Tarek al-Raba'a, an engineer and partner of Qazzi, was apprehended on charges of spying for Israel and compromising national security.

A few days later, a third Alfa employee was similarly detained.

Israel has refused to comment on the arrests.

Nevertheless, their apparent ability to have penetrated Lebanon's military and telecommunication sectors has rattled the country and urgently raised security concerns.

What does any of this have to do with the Hariri assassination?

Outside the obvious deleterious ramifications of high-ranking Lebanese military officers working for Israel, the very legitimacy of the Special Tribunal for Lebanon (STL) is now in question.

The STL is the U.N.-sanctioned body tasked with prosecuting those responsible for the assassination of the late prime minister.

On Feb. 14, 2005, 1,000 kg of explosives detonated near Hariri's passing motorcade, killing him and 21 others.

It is believed the STL will issue indictments in the matter as early as September—relying heavily on phone recordings and mobile transmissions to do so.

According to the AFP, "A preliminary report by the U.N. investigating team said it had collected data from mobile phone calls made the day of Hariri's murder as evidence."

The National likewise reported, "The international inquiry, which could present indictments or findings as soon as September, according to unverified media reports, used extensive phone records to draw conclusions into a conspiracy to kill Hariri, widely blamed on Syria and its Lebanese allies ..."

In a July 16 televised speech, Hezbollah Secretary-General Sayyid Hassan Nasrallah speculated the STL would use information gleaned from Israeli-compromised communications to falsely implicate the group in the prime minister's murder:

"Some are counting in their analysis of the (STL) indictment on witnesses, some of whom turned out to be fake, and on the telecommunications networks which were infiltrated by spies who can change and manipulate data.

"Before the (2006) war, these spies gave important information to the Israeli enemy and based on this information, Israel bombed buildings, homes, factories and institutions.

Many martyrs died and many others were wounded.

These spies are partners in the killings, the crimes, the threats and the displacement."

Nasrallah called the STL's manipulation an "Israeli project" meant to "create an uproar in Lebanon ."

Indeed, in May 2008 Lebanon experienced a taste of this. At the height of an 18-month stalemate over the formation of a national unity government under then Prime Minister Fouad Siniora, his cabinet's decision to unilaterally declare Hezbollah's fixed-line communication system illegal pushed the country to the brink of civil war.

Recognizing the value their secure lines of communication had in combating the July 2006 Israeli invasion and suspecting that state-owned telecoms might be compromised, Hezbollah resisted Siniora's plans to have its network dismantled.

Their men swept through West Beirut and put a quick end to the government's plan.

Two years later, their suspicions appear to have been vindicated.

Opposition MP and Free Patriotic Movement head Michel Aoun has already warned Nasrallah that the STL will likely indict “uncontrolled” Hezbollah members to be followed by “... Lebanese-Lebanese and Lebanese-Palestinian tension, and by an Israeli war on Lebanon .”

Giving credence to Nasrallah and Aoun’s assertions, Commander in Chief of the Israel Defense Forces Gabi Ashkenazi, predicted “with lots of wishes” that the situation in Lebanon would deteriorate in September after the STL indicts Hezbollah for Hariri’s assassination.

Ashkenazi’s gleeful, prescient testimony to the Knesset’s Foreign Affairs Committee betrays what Israel hopes the fallout from the STL’s report will be fomentation of civil strife and discord among Lebanon ’s sectarian groups, generally divided into pro- and anti-Syria factions.

Ashkenazi anticipates this to happen, of course, because he knows Israel ’s unfettered access to critical phone records will have framed Hezbollah for the crime.

Israel’s agents and operatives in Lebanon and its infiltration of a telecom network have been exposed.

At the very least, the STL must recognize that evidence of alleged Hezbollah involvement in Hariri’s death (a group that historically enjoyed good ties with the late premier) is wholly tainted and likely doctored.

The arrest of Qazzi and al-Raba’a in the breakup of Israeli spy rings should prompt the STL to shift its focus

To the only regional player that has benefited from Hariri's murder one that will continue to do so if and when their designs to implicate Hezbollah are realized.

It is time to look at Tel Aviv.

Chapter 6

LEBANON: Court Releases Names And Pictures Of Hariri's Alleged Killers

The United Nations-backed international tribunal set up to bring to justice those responsible for the 2005 assassination of former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafik Hariri and others on Friday published the identities, photographs, and background information of four suspects named in the indictment, issued on June 28.



The Patsies

The Special Tribunal for Lebanon splashed photographs and detailed information on its website about the personal history of the four suspects -- identified as Salim Jamil Ayyash, Mustafa Amine Badreddine, Hussein Hassan Oneissi and Assad Hassan Sabra.

The men range in age from 36 to 50.

The published information includes the names of their parents, their passport and social security numbers, and their last known addresses.

Among listed charges against them are conspiracy to commit a terrorist act and being an accomplice to the felony of premeditated homicide by using explosive materials.

The move came after consultations between international prosecutor Daniel A. Bellemare and the Lebanese legal authorities, according to a statement by the tribunal.

The consultations apparently affirmed that lifting the confidentiality of that kind of information did "not contradict Lebanese law with regard to executing arrests."

The suspects' names had been widely circulated and reported in Lebanese media when the indictment was confirmed at the end of June, but the tribunal's decision to lift the confidentiality and confirm their names is still likely to heighten sectarian and political tensions in Lebanon.

Three of the accused are residents of the Hezbollah stronghold of south Beirut, and the fourth is a resident of mainly Shiite south Lebanon.

The statement did not mention Hezbollah, but it has been widely reported that the four men are affiliated with the militant group.

Hezbollah Secretary-General Hassan Nasrallah has vowed to defy international authorities' demand that the men be handed over.

The court's confirmation of the suspects' names marks another painful blow to Hezbollah, which is increasingly projecting an image of itself as heeding Iranian interests rather than being an Arab nationalist organization by proclaiming its undying support for Syria's embattled leader Bashar Assad, whose troops are engaged in a deadly crackdown on pro-democracy demonstrators.

Hezbollah has denounced the tribunal as a U.S. and Israeli conspiracy and has consistently denied any involvement in the spectacular assassination of ex-premier Hariri on the Beirut waterfront in February 2005.

He was killed along with 21 others when a massive truck bomb exploded on his motorcade.

Hezbollah has also vowed to hit hard at anyone trying to arrest the men, who have disappeared, possibly to Iran, according to analysts.

Prosecutor Bellemare said that making the names and biographies of the suspects available to the general public may lead to their arrest.

The tribunal issued international arrest warrants for the four suspects in early July and Lebanon has until Aug. 11 to report "on the progress made" in implementing the arrest warrants.

Few, however, believe the men will ever be found and put before a court.

Conclusion

Well there is all the evidence people, its all pointing in the directions of (Mossad) behind the assassination of the Ex – President, again in all these murders, attacks there is a simple question to ask?

Who Benefits From The Event?

Israel & USA

What Two Countries In The World Are The Ones Where Their Intelligence Agencies Are Active?

Israel & USA

What Two Countries Want To Take Over The World And Control Everything To Their Liking?

Israel & USA

Its about time Governments in the World speak up and denounce what Israel & America does about the World, thanks for reading.

Some Great Web Sites To Visit and Read

<http://southeastasianews.org>

<http://palestinecards.com>

<http://how911wasdone.com>

<http://stopfundingisrael.com>

<http://binladden.blogspot.com/>

<http://itwasntmuslims.blogspot.com/>

<http://ozradiationnews.blogspot.com/>

Support The OzBoy Files
Buy a T-shirt, Cap, Jacket, Camera today



<http://www.cafepress.com.au/OzboyFiles>





<http://www.cafepress.com.au/OzboyFiles>



The OzBoy File – Books – Videos

We are asking people, if they enjoyed reading this and other magazines which are placed up here for FREE to donate a few dollars \$10, \$20 what ever people can afford.

And for people who are well off, can afford \$100, \$200, \$500, \$1,000 or more and would like to sponsor our work, here is our bank account details, give these to your bank, and you can direct deposit money straight into our account.

Bank Account Details

Name of on Account: **Freedom Collective**

BSB: **013516**

Account No: **479225403**

Bank Swift Code: **ANZBAU3M**

Bank: **ANZ Banking Corporation**

927 Sturt Street

Ballarat Victoria 3350

Australia